New Results on Beauty, Charm, and Tau from Belle II

Soeren Prell (Iowa State University)

14th Conference on the Intersections of Particle and Nuclear Physics (CIPANP 2022)

Orlando, Florida

August 29 – September 4, 2022

On behalf of the Belle II Collaboration

Belle II & SuperKEKB Accelerator

- *Belle II is a multipurpose experiment at the SuperKEKB collider located at KEK (Tsukuba, Japan)*
  - *Asymmetric-energy e+ (4 GeV) e− (7 GeV) collider with E_{CM} near the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance (~10.6 GeV)*
- *Aims to collect a 50 ab^{-1} data sample (50 × Belle)*
- *Final design instantaneous luminosity of 6.5×10^{35} cm^{-2} s^{-1} (30 × that of KEKB) by*
  - *reducing beam size by factor 20 ("nano beams")*
  - *increasing beam current by factor 1.5*





	Energy (GeV) LER/HER	β_y^* (mm) LER/HER	ε_x (nm) LER/HER	ξ_y LER/HER	φ (mrad)	I_{beam} (A) LER/HER	Luminosity ($cm^{-2}s^{-1}$) x 10^{34}
KEKB Achieved	3.5/8.0	5.9/5.9	18/24	0.13/0.09	11	1.6/1.2	2.11
SuperKEKB	4.0/7.0	0.27/0.3	3.2/2.4	0.09/0.09	41.5	2.8/2.0	65

factor 20 factor 1.5

Factor ~30 in the luminosity



Belle II Detector



Belle II reuses the structure, solenoid, CsI(Tl) crystals, and part of the barrel RPCs from the original Belle detector.

Belle II, a Super Heavy Flavor Factory

Belle II is …

- *a Super* ***B*** *Factory:*
  $1.1 \times 10^9\, B\bar{B}$ *pairs per* ab^{-1}
- *a Super* ***Charm*** *Factory:*
  $1.3 \times 10^9\, c\bar{c}$ *pairs per* ab^{-1}
- *a Super* $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ *Factory:*
  $0.9 \times 10^9\, \tau^+\tau^-$ *pairs per* ab^{-1}

… and in addition, the clean e+e− environment allows the study/search of

- *Charmonium & bottomonium (SM & exotic X,Y,Z)*
- *Tetra- and penta-quarks*
- *Dark particles (dark γ/Higgs, ALPs, LLPs), …*



"on resonance" production

$e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S) \xrightarrow{\sim 100\%} B^0\bar{B}^0, B^+B^-$



- 2 boosted B's and **nothing else** !
- 2 B's created in $L = 1$ *coherent state*

Belle II Luminosity



Belle II has recorded $428\ fb^{-1}$ in 2019-2022

- *On-$\Upsilon(4S)$: $363\ fb^{-1}$*
- *Below-$\Upsilon(4S)$: $42\ fb^{-1}$*
- *Unique 10.75 GeV scan: $19\ fb^{-1}$*

Today's results are based on up to $190\ fb^{-1}$ On-$\Upsilon(4S)$

Integrated luminosities On-$\Upsilon(4S)$ of B factories for comparison:
Belle ($711\ fb^{-1}$)
BaBar ($424\ fb^{-1}$)

Many Belle II results are starting to become statistically competitive, some measurements are already world's best !

B Meson Reconstruction Techniques

Exploit kinematics of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}$ for signal selection

$$M_{bc} = \sqrt{(E^*_{beam})^2 - (p^*_B)^2}$$

$$\Delta E = E^*_B - E^*_{beam}$$

Event shape variables













Full Event Interpretation

Comput. Softw. Big Sci. 3, 6 (2019)



- *Reconstruct one B (B_{tag}) fully w/ multivar. classifiers (hadr. and SL final states)*
  - *>200 BDTs reconstr. O(10k) decay chains*
  - *Samples dominated by large-BF, low-background modes*
- *Properties of B_{sig} (e.g. momentum) and invisible daughters (e.g. "missing mass") can be calculated with B_{tag} momentum*
- *~(1.5-2)× better perform. than at Belle*
  - *Typical for values hadronic B_{tag} :* $\epsilon\left(B^+_{tag}\right)$~0.5%, *purity ~ 10%*

Example:

Hadronic decay of B_{tag}



Signal decay with invisible neutrino





Measurements of quark mixing parameters

Amplitude for charged current quark transition $q_i \to W\, q_j$ is proportional to CKM matrix element V_{ij}

- *BFs ($\propto |V_{ij}|^2$) $\to$ magnitudes*
- *CP asymmetries (arising from interference of 2 amplitudes) $\to$ (complex) phases*

$$V_{\rm CKM} \equiv V_L^u V_L^{d\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$

Belle II can measure magnitudes of 7 of the 9 matrix elements and the weak phase

In the SM, V_{CKM} is a unitary 3×3 matrix: measurements of Unitarity Triangle sides and angles must be consistent !!!

$$\boldsymbol{V^*_{ub}V_{ud} + V^*_{cb}V_{cd} + V^*_{tb}V_{td} \;=\; 0}$$



$$\phi_1 = \beta \equiv \arg\left[-V_{cd}V^*_{cb}/V_{td}V^*_{tb}\right]$$
$$\phi_2 = \alpha \equiv \arg\left[-V_{td}V^*_{tb}/V_{ud}V^*_{ub}\right]$$
$$\phi_3 = \gamma \equiv \arg\left[-V_{ud}V^*_{ub}/V_{cd}V^*_{cb}\right]$$

Horak, HF
Tue 17:00

V_{ub} and V_{cb}

$|V_{ub}|$ and $|V_{cb}|$ are precisely measured with semileptonic B decays

Exclusive: B→π/ρ ℓν, B→D(*)ℓν etc.

Inclusive: B→X_uℓν, B→X_cℓν





Parameter	Exclusive	Inclusive
$\lvert V_{cb}\rvert \times 10^{-3}$	39.10±0.50	42.19±0.78
$\lvert V_{ub}\rvert \times 10^{-3}$	3.51±0.12	4.19±0.12

HFLAV, arXiv:2206.07501

discrepancy between inclusive and exclusive

V_{cb} from untagged $B \to Dl\nu$ sample



θ_{BY} is angle between B & Dl system

V_{ub} from tagged $B \to \pi l\nu$ sample



4 new exclusive Vxb results from Belle II:

$\lvert V_{xb} \rvert$	Signal B (B_{sig}) decay	Other B (B_{tag}) decay	Latest result
$\lvert V_{cb} \rvert$	$B_{sig} \to D\ell\nu$ (ℓ=e,μ)	untagged	ICHEP 2022
$\lvert V_{cb} \rvert$	$B^0_{sig} \to D^*\ell\nu$ (ℓ=e,μ)	hadronically tagged	Moriond 2022
$\lvert V_{ub} \rvert$	$B^0_{sig} \to \pi\ell\nu$ (ℓ=e,μ)	untagged	ICHEP 2022
$\lvert V_{ub} \rvert$	$B_{sig} \to \pi e\nu$	hadronically tagged	Moriond 2022

$$|V_{xb}| \times 10^3$$
$$\eta_{EW}|V_{cb}| = 38.53 \pm 1.15$$
$$\eta_{EW}|V_{cb}| = 38.2 \pm 2.8$$
$$|V_{ub}| = 3.54 \pm 0.25$$
$$|V_{ub}| = 3.88 \pm 0.45$$

η_{EW} = EW correction factor





Belle II V_{xb} results are consistent with previous measurements, with precision approaching those of prev. results

Meier, HF
Sat 15:30 &

Excl. vs incl. discrepancy may be due to unaccounted non-perturbative effects. Measurements of SL decay kinematics (Belle II, arxiv.org:2205.06372) may help resolve the issue.

Time-dependent asymmetries in B decays

- *TD measurements of B decays at $\Upsilon(4S)$ were pioneered by BABAR and Belle*
  - *Need good B flavor tagging and $\Delta t = t_{sig} - t_{tag}$ measurement*
- *$B\bar{B}$ mixing freq. Δm_d determined from TD of $\Upsilon(4S) \to B\bar{B}$ or $BB, \bar{B}\bar{B}$*

$$\mathcal{A}(\Delta t) = \frac{N_{B\bar{B}} - N_{BB,\bar{B}\bar{B}}}{N_{B\bar{B}} + N_{BB,\bar{B}\bar{B}}} = cos(\Delta m_d \Delta t)(1-2w) \otimes R(\Delta t)$$



- *New Belle II measurements of B lifetime and mixing frequency*

$$\tau_{B^0} = 1.499 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.008 \text{ ps}$$
$$\Delta m_d = 0.516 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.005 \text{ ps}^{-1}$$

  - *Measurements consistent with WAs*
  - *O(1%) precision in $\tau(B^0)$ and Δm_d demonstrates Belle II's excellent flavor tagging and vertexing performance*



Measurement of CP asymmetry $\sin 2\phi_1$

Zlebcik, HF
Tue 13:30

$$\mathcal{A}^{raw}(\Delta t) = \frac{N(\bar{B}^0 \to f_{CP}) - N(B^0 \to f_{CP})}{N(\bar{B}^0 \to f_{CP}) + N(B^0 \to f_{CP})}(\Delta t) = A_{CP}\cos(\Delta m_d \Delta t) + S_{CP}\sin(\Delta m_d \Delta t)$$

A_{CP}: direct CP asymmetry; S_{CP}: mixing-induced CP asymmetry

- *Expect* $S_{CP} = \sin 2\phi_1$ *for tree amplitude* $b \to c\bar{c}s$ *decays*
- *New physics could provide CP contribution in penguin decays*



- *New Belle II* $\sin 2\phi_1$ *measurement with golden mode* $B \to J/\psi K_S^0$

$$S_{CP} = 0.720 \pm 0.062\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.016\,(\text{syst})$$
$$A_{CP} = 0.094 \pm 0.044\,(\text{stat})\ {}^{+0.042}_{-0.017}\,(\text{syst})$$

- *9% measurement is statistically dominated*
- *Consistent with WA*



Time-dependent CPV in B penguins

ICHEP 2022

- *Measure S_{CP} in penguin decay $B \to 3K_S^0$*
- *Result are consistent with SM predictions:*
  - $A_{CP} \sim 0$ *and* $S_{CP} \sim -\sin 2\phi_1$

$$S_{CP} = -1.86^{+0.91}_{-0.46}\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.09\,(\text{syst})$$
$$A_{CP} = -0.22^{+0.30}_{-0.27}\,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.04\,(\text{syst})$$

  - *Technically complicated measurement with no tracks from B_{sig} decay vertex*
  - *Small inner radius of PXD ensures most $K_S^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ daughter tracks have pixel hit info*



$K\pi$ Puzzle

- *Unexpected large difference between CP asymmetries $A^{CP}_{K^+\pi^-}$ and $A^{CP}_{K^+\pi^0}$ in $B \to K\pi$ decays dominated by hadronic penguin amplitudes*
- *Isospin sum rule tests if discrepancy from sub-leading SM amplitudes*

$$I_{K\pi} = \mathcal{A}^{CP}_{K^+\pi^-} + \mathcal{A}^{CP}_{K^0\pi^+}\frac{\mathcal{B}_{K^0\pi^+}}{\mathcal{B}_{K^+\pi^-}}\frac{\tau_{B^0}}{\tau_{B^+}} - 2\mathcal{A}^{CP}_{K^+\pi^0}\frac{\mathcal{B}_{K^+\pi^0}}{\mathcal{B}_{K^+\pi^-}}\frac{\tau_{B^0}}{\tau_{B^+}} - 2\mathcal{A}^{CP}_{K^0\pi^0}\frac{\mathcal{B}_{K^0\pi^0}}{\mathcal{B}_{K^+\pi^-}} \approx 0$$

- *Current precision (13%) limited by $A^{CP}_{K^0\pi^0}$.*
- *Only Belle II can measure all of these !*

New Belle II measurements:

$$A_{CP}^{K^+\pi^0} = 0.014 \pm 0.047 \pm 0.010$$
$$B_{K^+\pi^0} = (14.30 \pm 0.69 \pm 0.79)\times 10^{-6}$$
$$A_{CP}^{K^0\pi^0} = -0.41^{+0.30}_{-0.32} \pm 0.09$$
$$B_{K^0\pi^0} = (11.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.0)\times 10^{-6}$$

ICHEP 2022

Previous Belle II results with 63 fb^{-1}: $K^+\pi^-$ and $K^0\pi^+$ (arXiv:2106.03766), $K^0\pi^0$ (arXiv:2104.14871), and $K^+\pi^0$ (arXiv:2105.04111),



Measurement of ϕ_2 from $B \to \pi\pi$ and $B \to \rho\rho$

CKM angle ϕ_2 determined through BFs and CP asymmetries for sets of $b \to u$ dominated $B \to \pi\pi$ and $B \to \rho\rho$ decays

- *Isospin decomposition is necessary to account for penguin pollution*



$B^+ \to \rho^+\rho^0$, $B^0 \to \rho^0\rho^0$, $B^0 \to \rho^+\rho^-$ or

$B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$, $B^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$

New Belle II $B \to \pi\pi$ measurements:

$$A_{CP}^{\pi^+\pi^0} = -0.085 \pm 0.085 \pm 0.019$$
$$B_{\pi^+\pi^0} = (6.12 \pm 0.53 \pm 0.53)\times 10^{-6}$$
$$A_{CP}^{\pi^0\pi^0} = 0.14 \pm 0.46 \pm 0.07$$
$$B_{\pi^0\pi^0} = (1.27 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.17)\times 10^{-6}$$

WA: $A_{CP}^{\pi^0\pi^0} = 0.33 \pm 0.22, B_{\pi^0\pi^0} = (1.59 \pm 0.26)\times 10^{-6}$



Although ρρ is a VV final state, similar isospin analysis as in ππ possible since only longitudinal amplitude dominant

New Belle II $B \to \rho\rho$ measurements:

$$B_{\rho^+\rho^-} = (26.7 \pm 2.8 \ \pm 2.8)\times 10^{-6}$$
$$f_L^{\rho^+\rho^-} = 0.956 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.033$$

WA: $B_{\rho^+\rho^-} = (27.7 \pm 1.9)\times 10^{-6}$

ICHEP 2022



$$A_{CP}^{\rho^+\rho^0} = -0.069 \pm 0.068 \ \pm 0.060$$
$$B_{\rho^+\rho^0} = (23.2^{+2.2}_{-2.1} \pm 2.7)\times 10^{-6}$$
$$f_L^{\rho^+\rho^0} = 0.943^{+0.035}_{-0.033} \pm 0.027$$

WA: $A_{CP}^{\rho^+\rho^0} = -0.05 \pm 0.05, B_{\rho^+\rho^0} = (24.0 \pm 1.9)\times 10^{-6}$

Moriond 2022



Previous Belle II results with 63 fb[-1]: $\pi^+\pi^-$ (arXiv:2106.03766), $\pi^0\pi^0$ (arXiv:2107.02373), and $\rho^+\rho^0$(arXiv:2206.12362),

Measurement of ϕ_3 with $B^{\pm} \to D(K_S^0 h^+ h^-)K^{\pm}$

Measure ϕ_3 through interference of $b \to c$ and $b \to u$ amplitudes in bins of $D/\overline{D} \to K_S^0 h^+ h^-$ Dalitz plots



Strong phase in D decay from CLEO and BESIII used as external input

$$\phi_3 = (78.4 \pm 11.4 \pm 0.05 \pm 1.0)^{\circ}$$

WA: $\phi_3 = (65.9^{+3.3}_{-3.5})^{\circ}$

First joint Belle (711 fb[-1]) and Belle II (128 fb[-1]) analysis !

Belle: $N(K_S^0\pi\pi) = 1467 \pm 53$, $N(K_S^0 KK) = 194 \pm 17$





Belle II: $N(K_S^0\pi\pi) = 280 \pm 21$, $N(K_S^0 KK) = 34 \pm 7$

Belle & Belle II, JHEP 02 (2022) 063

Radiative and EW Penguin B Decays

- *Flavor-changing neutral currents: in SM due to $b \to s$ transitions at one-loop level*
  - *Sensitive to New Physics particles in the loop*
- *BF ratios, asymmetries and angular observables can be precisely predicted in SM*
- *New Belle II incl. BR($b \to s\, \gamma$) measurement*
  - *Apply cut-off due to large background at low $E(\gamma)$*



E_γ^B threshold, GeV	$\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s\gamma)(10^{-4})$
1.8	3.54 ± 0.78 (stat.) ± 0.83 (syst.)
2.0	3.06 ± 0.56 (stat.) ± 0.47 (syst.)



SM prediction for $E_\gamma^B > 1.6$ GeV: $(3.40 \pm 0.17)\times10^{-4}$ [JHEP06(2020)175]

$B \to K^* l^+ l^-$ and $B \to K\nu\bar{\nu}$

Martel, HI
Thu 16:30

New measurements of $BR(B \to K^* l^+ l^-)$ and $BR(B \to K\nu\bar{\nu})$ (fully-incl.)







PRL 127 (2021) 181802



Moriond 2022

Decay	Belle II (10^{-6})	PDG (10^{-6})
$B \to K^* e^+ e^-$	$1.42 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.09$	1.19 ± 0.20
$B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$	$1.19 \pm 0.31^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$	1.06 ± 0.09

$BF(B^+ \to K^+ \nu\bar{\nu}) < 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$

Fully-inclusive method improves sensitivity significantly over previous measurements !

LFU in $B \to D^{(*)} l\nu$

Hara, HI
Sat 14:00

- *Tensions observed recently in excl. semi-leptonic BF ratios $R(D^{(*)}) = BR(B \to D^{(*)}\tau\nu)/BR(B \to D^{(*)}l\nu)$*

- *Predictions for incl. R(X):*

$$R(X_{c,\tau/l})_{SM} = 0.223 \pm 0.004$$

PRD 92 (2015) 054018

$$R(X_{c,e/\mu})_{SM} = 1.006 \pm 0.001$$

Vos & Rahimi, in progress

- *Since incl. measurements are hard, esp. with τ, measure $R(X_{e/\mu})$ first*

$$R(X_{c,e/\mu}) = 1.003 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.020$$

Most precise LFU test with semi-leptonic B decays to date !





Charm meson lifetimes

- *First D^0 and D^+ lifetime measurements in 2 decades*

Belle II, PRL 127 (2021) 021801

$$\tau(D^0) = 410.5 \pm 1.1\ (\text{stat}) \pm 0.8\ (\text{syst})\ \text{fs}$$
$$\tau(D^+) = 1030.4 \pm 4.7\ (\text{stat}) \pm 3.1\ (\text{syst})\ \text{fs}$$



- *Belle II results are more precise than and consistent with previous measurements*

0.5% precision (incl. syst.) demonstrates excellent performance and understanding of Belle II vertex detector





Charm baryon lifetimes



- *Recent LHCb Λ_c^+ and Ξ_c^0 lifetime measurements changed order of charm baryon lifetimes*
- *New Belle II results:*

$\tau(\Lambda_c^+)$
$= 203.2 \pm 0.9\ (\text{stat}) \pm 0.8\ (\text{syst})\ \text{fs}$
$\tau(\Omega_c^0)$
$= \quad 243 \pm 48\ (\text{stat}) \pm 11\ (\text{syst})\ \text{fs}$

  - *Most precise Λ_c^+ lifetime measurement*
- *Confirms that Ω_c^0 is not shortest-lived singly-charmed baryon*
  - *Consistent with LHCb results*
  - *Inconsistent with pre-LHCb world average by 3.4sigma*

arXiv: 2206.15227









LFV searches in τ decays

Banerjee, HI
Thu 17:00

Many new physics models predict cLFV at 10^{-7}-10^{-10}



[2207.06307](2207.06307)

$\tau \to e/\mu + \alpha\ (invisible)$

- *Invisible particle occur in many NP models (e.g. light ALPs)*
- *Previous best upper limits for $0.1 < M_\alpha < 1.6$ GeV from ARGUS (Z. Phys. C68 (1995) 25)*
- *Compare $\tau \to e/\mu + \alpha$ rate with SM $\tau \to e/\mu\, \nu\bar{\nu}$ prediction*
  - *Improved 95% C.L. limits set for $BR(\tau \to e/\mu + \alpha)/BR(\tau \to e/\mu\, \nu\bar{\nu})$ for $M_\alpha < 1.6$ GeV*







Plots and limits for $\tau \to e\alpha$ are similar !

Conclusions

- *SuperKEKB is delivering e^+e^- collision data at world-record luminosity*
  - *Expect to improve a factor of 6 during next running period (will start in Fall 2023)*
- *Belle II detector demonstrates excellent performance*
  - *Esp. in incl. reco., neutrals (γ, π^0) & vertex measurements*
- *Belle II is a Super Flavor Factory, already producing many results with first 190 fb^{-1} (of 424 fb^{-1} recorded)*
  - *New B, Charm, and τ physics results are at precisions comparable to those of BABAR and Belle*
  - *Similarly, many new and unique results on dark sector searches & heavy quarkonium (not covered in this talk)*