

University of Ljubljana Faculty of Mathematics and Physics



## **Recent Belle II results on electroweak and radiative penguins**

Luka Šantelj,

Jozef Stefan Institute and University of Ljubljana

On behalf of the Belle II collaboration

Quirks in Quark Flavor Physics

Zadar, 14-17.6. 2022





- FCNC processes: suppressed in the SM; only via loop and box diagrams



- High sensitivity to potential NP contributions in loops or new tree diagrams
  - $\rightarrow$  enhancing/suppressing decay rates, inducing lepton flavor violation, affecting angular observables, etc.

## NP in radiative and EW penguins

- Effective field theory description (NP model independent):
- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ts} V_{tb}^* \sum_i \underbrace{C_i \mathcal{O}_i}_{i} + \underbrace{C_i' \mathcal{O}_i'}_{\mathcal{O}_i} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{C}_i \\ \mathcal{O}_i$ 
  - $C_i$  Wilson coefficients  $\rightarrow$  short distance  $\mathcal{O}_i$  operator matrix elements  $\rightarrow$  long dist.
- radiative and EW penguins sensitive to  $C_7^{(,)}, \mathcal{O}_7 \sim (s_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} b_R) F_{\mu\nu}$  Photon penguin  $C_9^{(,)}, \mathcal{O}_9 \sim (\bar{s}_L \gamma_\mu b_L) (\bar{l} \gamma^\mu l)$  EW vector  $C_{10}^{(,)}, \mathcal{O}_{10} \sim (\bar{s}_L \gamma_\mu b_L) (\bar{l} \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu l)$  EW axial-vector

- different observables sensitive to different combinations of  $C_i$ 's
  - $\rightarrow\,$  pinpoint NP contributions by measuring many observables
  - $\rightarrow\,$  exploit the power of global fits to understand its nature

#### Belle II @ SuperKEKB – B factory of 2<sup>nd</sup> generation







#### Belle II @ SuperKEKB – B factory of 2<sup>nd</sup> generation

- **SuperKEKB:** asymmetric  $e^+e^-$  collider operating nominally at  $\Upsilon(4S) = 10.58$  GeV



#### So far collected data

- **SuperKEKB** achieved world record instantaneous luminosity of

 $\frac{4.65 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}}{2.1 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} @ \text{KEKB}}{1.2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} @ \text{PEP-II}}$ 

- Belle II data taking efficiency  $\sim 90\%$
- Recorded luminosity @ Belle II

 $|>400 \text{ fb}^{-1}| \\ 988 \text{ fb}^{-1} @ \text{Belle} \\ 513 \text{ fb}^{-1} @ \text{BaBar} | \\ |$ 

- After LS1 boost in instantaneous luminosity

 $\rightarrow$  expect 50 ab<sup>-1</sup> in the next 10 years



2020

2021

2022

0.0 -

2019



400

350 🖵

#### Belle II performance



- excellent and well understood  $\gamma\,$  reconstruction efficiency (important also for  $\,\pi^0,\eta\,$  reconstruction)
- excellent lepton ID (both,  $e \,$  and  $\, \mu)$
- good hadron ID
- improved reconstruction algorithms w.r.t. Belle (e.g. Full-Event-Interpretation)



A

# 

#### Rare radiative B decays ( $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ )

- variety of techniques and observables accessible at Belle II

inclusive / exclusive

Branching fractions, Isospin asymmetries, CP asymmetries Inclusive spectrum parameters:  $m_b$ ,  $\mu_{\pi}^2 \rightarrow$  inputs for inclusive  $|V_{ub}|$ 

- most precise measurements available from Belle

	B → K* ɣ		$B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$					
BF Precision	3% [3]		10% [2] $b \rightarrow s \gamma$ inclusive BF theoretically well described in SM[5], [6]	$BR(B^0 \to K^{*0}\gamma) =$ $BR(B^+ \to K^{*+}\gamma) =$	•			
A <sub>CP</sub>	consistent with	n 0 and S	5M predictions [1], [3], [4]	$DR(D \rightarrow R \rightarrow \gamma)$				
Δ <sub>0+</sub>	first evidence isospin violation @ 3.	e for .1 σ [3]	consistent with 0 [1]	${ m BR}(B_s  o \phi \gamma)$ - ${ m BR}(B  o X_s \gamma)$ -		-	•	
[1] Phys.Rev.D 99 (201 [2] Phys.Rev.D 91 (201 [3] Phys.Rev.Lett. 119 (	) 9) 3, 032012 5) 5, 052004 2017) 19, 191802	[4] hep-ph/ [5] Phys.Re [6] Phys.Re	/1608.02556 v.Lett. 98 (2007) 022002 v.Lett. 98 (2007) 022003	all BRs -		-		
$_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{K}^* \gamma)}{\Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{K}^* \gamma)}$	$\frac{-\Gamma(B \to K^* \gamma)}{+\Gamma(B \to K^* \gamma)}  \angle$	$\Delta_{0+} = \frac{\Gamma(}{\Gamma(}$	$\frac{(B^0 \to K^{*0}\gamma) - \Gamma(B^+ \to K^*)}{(B^0 \to K^{*0}\gamma) + \Gamma(B^+ \to K^*)}$	$(\overset{*+\gamma)}{(*+\gamma)}$ $-0.10$	-0.05	$\frac{1}{0.00}$ Re $C_7^{\rm NP}$	0.05 JHEP04(	0.10 (2017)02 <sup>-</sup>





## Branching fraction of $B \to K^{\star} \gamma$

- signal fully reconstructed: 
$$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}[K^+\pi^-]\gamma$$
  
 $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}[K^0_S\pi^0]\gamma$   
 $B^+ \rightarrow K^{*+}[K^+\pi^0]\gamma$   
 $2.25 < E^*_{\gamma} < 2.85 \text{ GeV}$   
 $B^+ \rightarrow K^{*+}[K^0_S\pi^+]\gamma$ 

- large background from continuum events suppressed BDT based on the event shape variables
- signal extracted by an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to  $\Delta E$  distribution (  $\Delta E = E_B^\star - \sqrt{s}/2$  )

Mode	$\mathcal{B}_{\text{meas}}$ $[10^{-5}]$	$\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{PDG}}$ $[10^{-5}]$
$B^0 \to K^{*0} \gamma$	$4.5\pm0.3\pm0.2$	$4.18\pm0.25$
$B^+ \to K^{*+} \gamma$	$5.2\pm0.4\pm0.3$	$3.92\pm0.22$

- Main systematics contributions:
  - $\rightarrow$  fit modelling
  - $\rightarrow$  mis-modelling of  $\pi^0 / \eta$  veto and selection variables in simulation



- In the pipeline:
  - $\rightarrow$  update, including isospin & CP asymmetry
  - $\rightarrow$  measurement of  $B \rightarrow \rho \gamma$  based on the full Belle + Belle II dataset



Belle T

#### First inclusive measurements: $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$

- measurement with **untagged** approach
  - $\rightarrow$  only high E gamma reconstructed
  - → photon spectrum obtained by subtracting expected backgrounds:
    - \* continuum ( $q\bar{q}$ ) from the off-resonance data \* BB from the MC
  - $\rightarrow$  clear excess consistent with  $B \rightarrow X_{s,d}\gamma$  observed

 $\rightarrow$  aim to provide competitive physics result using  $\sim 0.5 \, \mathrm{ab}^{-1}$ 



 $E_v^*$  [GeV]

#### First inclusive measurements: $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$

- in the pipeline measurements with:
  - $\rightarrow$  **hadronic tag** (FEI) approach: lower statistics
    - but independent systematics from other

- only used by BaBar  $\rightarrow$  provide competitive measurement

Year	Experiment	Tag type	Data on res	$\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s \gamma) \times 10^{-4}$	Threshold
2007	BaBar	Hadronic	$210 \ \mathbf{fb}^{-1}$	$3.66 \pm 0.85 (stat.) \pm 0.60 (syst.)$	$E_{\gamma}^* > 1.9 ~{ m GeV}$
2009	Belle	No-tag/lepton	$605~{\rm fb}^{-1}$	$3.45 \pm 0.15 (stat.) \pm 0.40 (syst.)$	$E_{\gamma}^B > 1.7 \text{ GeV}$
2012	BaBar	lepton	347 fb <sup>-1</sup>	$3.21 \pm 0.15 (stat.) \pm 0.29 (syst.)$	$E_{\gamma}^B > 1.7 \text{ GeV}$
2012	BaBar	Sum-of-exclusive	429 fb <sup>-1</sup>	$3.29 \pm 0.19 (stat.) \pm 0.48 (syst.)$	$E_{\gamma}^B > 1.7 \text{ GeV}$
2016	Belle	lepton	711 fb $^{-1}$	$3.12 \pm 0.10(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.19(\text{syst.})$	$E_{\gamma}^B > 1.6 \text{ GeV}$

 $\rightarrow$  **semi-leptonic tag:** - not used before



Belle II

#### Electroweak penguin B decays



u, c, t

 $Z(,\gamma)$ 

## LFU in $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$

- → excellent electron identification (nearly symmetric  $e, \mu$  performance)
- $\rightarrow$  provide independent test of anomalies with few ab<sup>-1</sup> of data
- $\rightarrow$  able to measure  $R(X_s)$
- → provide independent measurement of absolute BR for e,  $\mu$  modes

## EWP with missing energy

- $\rightarrow$  known initial state allows accessing decay modes with  $\nu$  in the final state
- $\rightarrow b \rightarrow s \nu \bar{\nu} \,$  sensitive probe of the SM
- $\rightarrow b \rightarrow s \tau \tau$  test of LFU (increased sensitivity to NP with enhanced coupling to heavier particles)

→  $b \rightarrow s\tau \ell$  - test of LFV (if LFU is indeed violated, LFV is allowed)

## Search for $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$

- clean SM prediction  $\mathcal{B} = (4.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-6}$ 

[J. High Energ. Phys. 2015, 184 (2015)]

- not yet observed!
- uniquely accessible at B factories:

 $\rightarrow$  traditionally searched for with explicit  $B_{tag}$  recontruction

 $\rightarrow$  low reconstruction efficiency: ~0.2%

Phys. Rev. D 87, 112005 (2013) Phys. Rev. D 96, 091101 (2017)

→ most stringent limit from BaBar:  $\mathcal{B} < 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ @ 90% CL



Phys.Rev.Lett. 127 (2021) 18, 181802



## Search for $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ @ Belle II





- it exploits distinct signal kinematics:
- $\rightarrow$  select highest  $p_T$  kaon kandidate
- ightarrow all other tracks associated to  $B_{
  m tag}$
- → minimizing the background contamination with constraints on event topology, missing energy and vertex separation



51 discriminating variables included into two step BDT

 $\rightarrow$  signal reconstruction eff. of ~4%

 $\rightarrow$  validated using  $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi (\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) K^+$  with removal of di-muon





## Search for $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ @ Belle II

- signal yiels is extracted from simultaneous maximum likelihood fit to on-resonance and off- resonance data in bins of  $p_T(K^+)$  and second BDT
- the method provides sensitivity comparable to the SL taging! (but independent sample)
- based on only  $63~{\rm fb}^{-1}$  of collected data, much larger sample already collected
- other modes to be included

 $B^0 \to K^0_S \nu \bar{\nu}, B^0 \to K^{*0} (\to K^+ \pi^-) \nu \bar{\nu}, \text{ and } B^+ \to K^{*+} (\to K^+ \pi^0) \nu \bar{\nu}$ 

- hadronic and SL tag measurements on-going.
- watch this space!





#### Other upcoming measurements



(Belle)

(BaBar)



 $\rightarrow$  according to MC studies much improved sensitivity @ Belle II  $\rightarrow$  competitive results

#### Summary



- $b \rightarrow s$  transitions are powerful probes of physics beyond the SM.
- Belle II has so far collected  $> 400 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  (~equiv. to BaBar datased) of high quality data.
  - $\rightarrow\,$  unique access to several inclusive modes and modes with missing energy
- first published measurements using  $< 100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  show Belle II can already provide competitive results in many areas, including measurements of radiative and EW penguins.
- demonstrated ability to perform inclusive and exclusive measurements of  $\,b \to s \gamma$
- limit on  $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$  competitive with Belle/BaBar already with ~1/10 of data sample size.
- many updates and new results to follow soon.



## backup

20



#### systematics sources

Source	$K^{*0}[K^+\pi^-]\gamma$	$K^{*0}[K^0_{\rm S}\pi^0]\gamma$	$K^{*+}[K^{+}\pi^{0}]\gamma$	$K^{*+}[K^0_{\rm S}\pi^+]$
No. of $B\overline{B}$ events	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6
Photon selection	$^{+0.2}_{-0.4}$	$^{+0.2}_{-0.4}$	$^{+0.2}_{-0.4}$	$^{+0.2}_{-0.4}$
$\pi^0/\eta$ veto	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
Pion identification	0.6			0.6
Kaon identification	0.8		0.8	
$K_{\rm S}^0$ reconstruction		2.4		2.4
$\pi^0$ selection		3.4	3.4	
Tracking efficiency	1.4	1.4	0.7	1.4
MVA selection	2.0	6.0	2.0	4.0
MC statistics	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.3
PDF shape parameters	1.0	$^{+7.4}_{-5.4}$	$^{+2.4}_{-3.1}$	$^{+0.6}_{-1.4}$
Misreconstructed signal	1.5	$^{+6.8}_{-7.2}$	$^{+4.7}_{-5.9}$	$+2.5 \\ -3.1$
Total	5.3	$^{+13.2}_{-12.4}$	$+7.9 \\ -8.9$	$+7.0 \\ -7.3$





	1	ABLE VI	I. Systematic	uncert	tainties (%)	in each M	$X_s$ mas	s bin.		
$M_{X_s}$ bin	$B\overline{B}$	Detector	Background	Signal	Cross-feed	Peaking	$q\overline{q}$ BG	Frag.	Missing	Total
$(\text{GeV}/c^2)$	counting	response	rejection	PDF	PDF	BG PDF	PDF		proportion	
0.6-0.7	1.4	2.7	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	4.5
0.7 - 0.8	1.4	2.6	3.4	0.1	12.2	7.8	0.0	-	-	15.3
0.8-0.9	1.4	2.6	3.4	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.0	-	-	4.5
0.9 - 1.0	1.4	2.6	3.4	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.0	-	-	4.5
1.0 - 1.1	1.4	2.6	3.4	0.1	2.9	1.1	0.3	-	-	5.4
1.1 - 1.2	1.4	3.0	3.4	0.4	3.1	1.7	0.2	32.1	1.2	32.1
1.2 - 1.3	1.4	3.2	3.4	0.2	1.6	0.9	0.0	2.1	1.0	5.6
1.3 - 1.4	1.4	3.2	3.4	0.2	1.6	0.2	0.0	2.6	1.9	6.0
1.4 - 1.5	1.4	3.1	3.4	0.2	2.0	0.1	0.0	4.0	1.3	6.7
1.5 - 1.6	1.4	3.3	3.4	0.6	2.2	0.1	0.0	2.4	1.3	6.1
1.6 - 1.7	1.4	3.5	3.4	0.1	1.7	2.1	0.2	2.8	1.9	6.7
1.7 - 1.8	1.4	3.6	3.4	0.1	2.2	1.7	0.2	3.4	1.0	6.8
1.8 - 1.9	1.4	3.7	3.4	0.1	1.9	2.0	0.1	3.6	2.1	7.2
1.9 - 2.0	1.4	3.7	3.4	0.1	4.2	4.0	0.1	3.7	1.6	8.8
2.0-2.1	1.4	3.8	3.4	0.1	5.6	0.6	0.2	17.8	2.2	19.5
2.1 - 2.2	1.4	3.8	3.4	0.3	3.7	2.5	0.4	21.9	1.9	23.1
2.2 - 2.4	1.4	3.8	3.4	0.1	7.4	7.1	0.0	25.5	1.6	28.0
2.4 - 2.6	1.4	3.8	3.4	0.1	11.5	21.8	0.3	29.6	1.0	38.9
2.6 - 2.8	1.4	3.8	3.4	0.1	44.7	101.0	0.9	29.4	2.0	113.9

. . . . . . . . . . THAT TO THE

Belle coll, Phys.Rev.D 91 (2015) 5, 052004, untagged Xsy sum of exclusive, 711 fb-1

 $\mathcal{B}(\overline{B} \to X_s \gamma) = (3.51 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-4}$ 

Belle coll, Phys.Rev.Lett.103:241801,2009,

untagged  $X_{s\gamma}$  inclusive, 605 fb-1

	BF(	$B \rightarrow$	$X_s \gamma)$	$(10^{-4})$
$E_{\gamma-\text{Low}}^{\text{B}}$ [GeV]	1.70	1.80	1.90	2.00
Value	3.45	3.36	3.21	3.02
$\pm$ statistical	0.15	0.13	0.11	0.10
$\pm$ systematic	0.40	0.25	0.16	0.11
20 	9. 			Syst
1. Continuum	0.26	0.16	0.10	0.07
2. Selection	0.15	0.12	0.10	0.08
3. $\pi^0/\eta$	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.02
4. Other $B$	0.25	0.14	0.06	0.02
5. Beam bkgd.	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01
6. Unfolding	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02
7. Model	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01
8. Resolution	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.00
9. $\gamma$ Detection	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.00
10. $B \to X_d \gamma$	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
11. Boost	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02

BF  $(B \to X_s \gamma) = (3.45 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.40) \times 10^{-4}$ 

 $b 
ightarrow s\gamma$ 



Table 6: Projected statistical and systematic (absolute) uncertainties of relevant observables from  $B \to K^* \gamma$  decays.

Observable	$1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	$5 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	$10 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	$50 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	Systematic uncertainty
$\Delta_{0+}(B \to K^* \gamma)$	1.3%	0.6%	0.4%	0.2%	1.2%
$A_{CP}(B^0 \to K^{*0}\gamma)$	1.4%	0.6%	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%
$A_{CP}(B^+ \to K^{*+}\gamma)$	1.9%	0.9%	0.6%	0.3%	0.2%
$\Delta A_{CP}(B \to K^* \gamma)$	2.4%	1.1%	0.7%	0.3%	0.3%

Table 5: Projected fractional uncertainties of the  $B \to X_s \gamma$  branching fraction measurement for various  $E_{\gamma}^B$  thresholds. The systematic uncertainty is presented for a baseline scenario when the remaining background is known to the 10% level, and an improved scenario, when the background is known to the 5% level.

Lower $E^B_{\gamma}$ threshold	Statistical uncertainty				Baseline (improved)	
1	$1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	$5 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	$10 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	$50 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	syst. uncertainty	
1.4 GeV	10.7%	6.4%	4.7%	2.2%	10.3% (5.2%)	
1.6 GeV	9.9%	6.1%	4.5%	2.1%	8.5% (4.2%)	
1.8 GeV	9.3%	5.7%	4.2%	2.0%	6.5%(3.2%)	
2.0 GeV	8.3%	5.1%	3.8%	1.7%	3.7% (1.8%)	

 $R(K^{(\star)})$ 

E. Manoni @ Moriond 22

Belle II

• Signal yield extracted from 2D fit to  $M_{bc}$  and  $\Delta E$ 



• Branching fraction in entire  $q^2$  range excluding J/ $\psi$  and  $\psi(2S)$  resonances:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(B \to K^* \mu \mu) &= (1.19 \pm 0.31 \pm ^{+0.08}_{-0.07}) \times 10^{-6}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B \to K^* ee) &= (1.42 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-6}, \\ \mathcal{B}(B \to K^* \ell \ell) &= (1.25 \pm 0.30 \pm ^{+0.08}_{-0.07}) \times 10^{-6}, \end{split}$$

- Precision for electron and muon channels in the same ballpark
- Limited by sample size
- Electron channel "only" 2.5σ worst wrt PDG, expected to became competitive with 1 ab<sup>-1</sup>
- Will provide essential independent check of anomalies with few 1/ab



## $B \rightarrow K^* \ell \ell$ systematics table

Source	Systematic (%)
signal shape	$\sim 1.0$
muon identification	+1.9 -0.8
electron identification	$^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$
kaon identification	0.4
pion identification	2.5
$K_S^0$ identification	2.0
$\pi^0$ identification	3.4
FastBDT	1.3 - 1.7
limited MC statistics	< 0.5
signal cross feed	$\sim 1\%$
tracking	1.2 - 1.5
$f^{+-(00)}$	1.2
number of $B\bar{B}$ pairs	2.9
Total	+6.7 -6.0

 $\frac{25}{R(K^{(\star)})}$ 





## $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$



#### Belle II physics for Snowmass

Table 3: Baseline (improved) expectations for the uncertainties on the signal strength  $\mu$  (relative to the SM strength) for the four decay modes as functions of data set size.

FF in MC model arXiv:1409.4557





#### Projections – radiative

#### The Belle II Physics Book, PETP 2019, 123C01 (2019)



Observables	Belle $0.71 \mathrm{ab}^{-1}$	Belle II $5  \mathrm{ab}^{-1}$	Belle II $50 \mathrm{ab^{-1}}$
$\operatorname{Br}(B \to X_s \gamma)_{\operatorname{inc}}^{\operatorname{lep-tag}}$	5.3%	3.9%	3.2%
$\operatorname{Br}(B \to X_s \gamma)_{\operatorname{inc}}^{\operatorname{had-tag}}$	13%	7.0%	4.2%
$\operatorname{Br}(B \to X_s \gamma)_{\text{sum-of-ex}}$	10.5%	7.3%	5.7%
$\Delta_{0+}(B \to X_s \gamma)_{\text{sum-of-ex}}$	2.1%	0.81%	0.63%
$\Delta_{0+}(B \to X_{s+d}\gamma)_{\rm inc}^{\rm had-tag}$	9.0%	2.6%	0.85%
$A_{CP}(B \to X_s \gamma)_{\text{sum-of-ex}}$	1.3%	0.52%	0.19%
$A_{CP}(B^0 \to X_s^0 \gamma)_{\text{sum-of-ex}}$	1.8%	0.72%	0.26%
$A_{CP}(B^+ \to X_s^+ \gamma)_{\text{sum-of-ex}}$	1.8%	0.69%	0.25%
$A_{CP}(B \to X_{s+d}\gamma)_{\rm inc}^{\rm lep-tag}$	4.0%	1.5%	0.48%
$A_{CP}(B \to X_{s+d}\gamma)_{\rm inc}^{\rm had-tag}$	8.0%	2.2%	0.70%
$\Delta A_{CP}(B \to X_s \gamma)_{\text{sum-of-ex}}$	2.5%	0.98%	0.30%
$\Delta A_{CP}(B \to X_{s+d}\gamma)_{\rm inc}^{\rm had-tag}$	16%	4.3%	1.3%

Observables	Belle $0.71  \mathrm{ab^{-1}}  (0.12  \mathrm{ab^{-1}})$	Belle II $5  \mathrm{ab}^{-1}$	Belle II $50  \mathrm{ab^{-1}}$
$\Delta_{0+}(B  o K^* \gamma)$	2.0%	0.70%	0.53%
$A_{CP}(B^0 \to K^{*0}\gamma)$	1.7%	0.58%	0.21%
$A_{CP}(B^+  o K^{*+}\gamma)$	2.4%	0.81%	0.29%
$\Delta A_{CP}(B \to K^* \gamma)$	2.9%	0.98%	0.36%
$S_{K^{*0}\gamma}$	0.29	0.090	0.030

#### Projections – EW penguin



Belle II

Observables	Belle $0.71 \mathrm{ab^{-1}}  (0.12 \mathrm{ab^{-1}})$	Belle II $5  \mathrm{ab}^{-1}$	Belle II $50  \mathrm{ab}^{-1}$
${ m Br}(B^+  o K^+  au^+  au^-) \cdot 10^5$	< 32	< 6.5	< 2.0
${ m Br}(B^+  o K^+  au^\pm e^\mp) \cdot 10^6$	-	-	< 2.1
${ m Br}(B^+  o K^+  au^\pm \mu^\mp) \cdot 10^6$	_	-	< 3.3

#### tagged analysis ONLY!

Observables	Belle $0.71 \mathrm{ab^{-1}} (0.12 \mathrm{ab^{-1}})$	Belle II $5  \mathrm{ab}^{-1}$	Belle II $50  \mathrm{ab^{-1}}$
$Br(B^+ \to K^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$	< 450%	30%	11%
${ m Br}(B^0  o K^{*0}  u ar{ u})$	< 180%	26%	9.6%
${ m Br}(B^+  o K^{*+} \nu \bar{\nu})$	< 420%	25%	9.3%
$F_L(B^0  o K^{*0}  u ar{ u})$	_	—	0.079
$F_L(B^+ \to K^{*+} \nu \bar{\nu})$	_	_	0.077

Observables	Belle $0.71  \mathrm{ab}^{-1}$	Belle II $5  \mathrm{ab}^{-1}$	Belle II $50  \mathrm{ab^{-1}}$
$R_K \; ([1.0, 6.0]  { m GeV^2})$	28%	11%	3.6%
$R_K \ (> 14.4  { m GeV^2})$	30%	12%	3.6%
$R_{K^*}~([1.0, 6.0]{ m GeV^2})$	26%	10%	3.2%
$R_{K^*} \ (> 14.4  { m GeV^2})$	24%	9.2%	2.8%
$R_{X_s}~([1.0, 6.0]{ m GeV^2})$	32%	12%	4.0%
$R_{X_s} \ (> 14.4  {\rm GeV^2})$	28%	11%	3.4%

#### Belle II luminosity projection



