

## Eldar Ganiev (**DESY**) on behalf of the Belle Collaboration

July 9, 2022 - ICHEP 2022, Bologna, Italy

# **BELLE || RESULTS** ON **ELECTRO WEAK PENGUINS**

### Electroweak and radiative penguins

 $b \rightarrow s$  transitions: flavor-changing neutral current not possible at tree level in the standard model (SM)

- Predictions for branching fractions ~10<sup>-7</sup>—10<sup>-4</sup>, with 5–30% uncertainties (dominated by soft QCD effects)
- Precise predictions for angular observables, asymmetries, and ratios

Highly sensitive to potential new physics (NP) contribution

- Mediators in loops or new tree level diagrams
- Sources of missing energy (e.g.  $b \rightarrow s + DM$ )
- Can modify rates, asymmetries, and angular distributions

=> Plenty of opportunities to probe the SM and explore the NP





Energy-asymmetric  $e^+e^-$  collisions at 10.58 GeV corresponding to the  $\Upsilon(4S)$ -resonance mass

- $B\overline{B}$  at threshold production: <u>low background</u>
- Collide point-like particles and nearly  $4\pi$  coverage: reconstruct final states with neutrinos or inclusively
- Flavor universal: similar performance for electrons and muons

### Belle II in 2019-2022:

✓ world-record luminosity by SuperKEKB: 4.7×10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> ✓ collected 424 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data

In ow starting one year stop for vertex detector completion and improved beampipe

Today's results from 63 fb<sup>-1</sup> and 190 fb<sup>-1</sup>

### Belle II @ SuperKEKB

 $K_L$  and  $\mu$  detection  $K_{\rm L}^0 p$ -resolution: 15 MeV  $\mu$  identification efficiency: ~90%

**EM** Calorimeter

Energy resolution: 4%-1.6%

Vertex Detector Vertex resolution:  $15 \,\mu m$ 

electrons (7 GeV)

**Central Drift Chamber** Spatial resolution: 100  $\mu$ m dE/dx resolution: 5%  $p_T$  resolution: 0.4%

Particle identification K eff. 90%, fake  $\pi$  rate 5%

~ 7.5 m



~ 7 m



### Reconstruction techniques

A typical  $B\overline{B}$  event generates ~10 tracks and ~10 photons Challenge: measurements of inclusive decays or decays including neutrinos suffer from missing kinematic info For example,  $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \sqrt{\nu}$ , fully-inclusive  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ 

- •Information from partner B (tag) provides insight about signal B
- •Methods specific to *B*-factory experiments



Purities of the tagged samples, available physics observables Tagging efficiencies, achievable yields





4

### BONONIA DOCET MATER STVDIORVM SENATVI BONONIENSI ILLUSTRISSIMO TABVLAM HANC quam potest officiofe D. D. D. D.

agent there

### **Electroweak penguins and friends**

 $B \to K^* \ell \ell$  2.  $B \to J/\psi K$ 

YOU ARE HERE

THE OWNER WATCHING THE OWNER WATCHING

And in Column 2 is not

**Radiative decays** district

4.  $B \rightarrow X_{s} \gamma$ 

I. BLAEV.

### neighbourhood

3.  $B \rightarrow K \nu \bar{\nu}$ 

202 12 2



Charles and the state of the state



# Electroweak penguins and friends neighbourhood

Belle II can provide independent check of  $R(K^{(*)})$  anomalies with few ab<sup>-1</sup>

Belle II search for  $B \rightarrow K^*(892)l^+l^ (l = e, \mu)$  with 189 fb<sup>-1</sup>

<u>Challenge</u>: limited by sample size

- Reconstruct  $K^* \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ ,  $K^+ \pi^0$ ,  $K^0 \otimes \pi^+ + 2$  same-flavor leptons
- Background suppression: charm veto (e.g  $J/\psi \rightarrow ll$ ), BDT to suppress candidates from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$  and other  $e^+e^- \rightarrow BB$
- Signal yield extracted from the fit of  $M_{\rm bc} = \sqrt{E_{\rm beam}^2 p}$

Decay	Belle II $(10^{-6})$	PDG (
$B \rightarrow K^* e^+ e^-$	$1.42 \pm 0.48 \pm 0.09$	$1.19 \pm$
$B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$	$1.19\pm0.31^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$	$1.06 \pm$

Precision for e and  $\mu$  channels in same ballpark, ~25-30%

arXiv:2206.05946

# Preparing for $R(K^{(*)})$ (I)

GeV/c<sup>2</sup>

Entries / [0.0033

GeV/c<sup>z</sup>

Entries / [0.0033

$$p_B^{*2}$$
 and  $\Delta E = E_B^* - E_{\text{beam}}$   
 $10^{-6})$   
 $0.20$   
 $0.09$ 







# Preparing for $R(K^{(*)})$

Belle II measurement of  $B \rightarrow J/\psi K$  decays with 189 fb<sup>-1</sup> Not an EW penguin process but a control channel for  $B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-$ 

=> Validate  $R_K$  measurement, lepton identification

- Reconstruct  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi$  and  $B^0 \rightarrow K^0_S J/\psi$  decays
- Signal yield extracted from the fit of  $M_{\rm bc}$  and  $\Delta E$

$$R_{K}(J/\psi) = \frac{\mathscr{B}(B \to KJ/\psi(\to \mu^{+}\mu^{-}))}{\mathscr{B}(B \to KJ/\psi(\to e^{+}e^{-}))}$$

Observable	Belle II	Belle
$R_{K^+}(J/\psi)$	$1.009 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.008$	$0.994 \pm 0.$
$R_{K^0_{ m S}}(J/\psi)$	$1.042 \pm 0.042 \pm 0.008$	$0.993 \pm 0.$

Lepton identification systematic uncertainty improved wrt Belle



$$(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-, \ \mu^+\mu^-)$$









### Search for $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \bar{\nu} (I)$

SM probe complementary to explain  $b \rightarrow sll$  anomalies (e.g <u>PRD98.05503</u>, <u>PRD102.015023</u>)

Reliable prediction (no amplitudes with virtual photon)  $\mathsf{BF}_{\mathsf{SM}}(B^+ \to K^+ \nu \overline{\nu}) = (4.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-6} \text{ [arxiv:1606.00916]}$ 

=> Unique to Belle II

<u>Challenge</u>: two neutrinos in the final state and limited sample size Use inclusive approach to search for  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ v \overline{v}$  in 63 fb<sup>-1</sup>

Signal kaon = candidate - track with the highest  $p_T$ 

- Associate all remaining tracks and clusters to other *B* in the event
- Use 2 consequent BDTs based on kinematics, event-topology, tagged B, and vertexing variables, to suppress background





## Search for $B^+ \to K^+ \nu \bar{\nu} (II)$

Signal from maximum likelihood fit in bins of  $p_T(K^+)$  and BDT output

- Branching fraction  $BF(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \overline{\nu}) = (1.9^{+1.6}_{-1.5}) \times 10^{-5}$
- Corresponding upper limit @ 90% CL BF( $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \sqrt{\nu}$ )

Signal strength comparable with the SM at  $1\sigma$  and with background only hypothesis at  $1.3\sigma$ 

Inclusive method offers 20% - 350% sensitivity improvement over previous approaches

### PRL 127, 181802

$$(5)$$
  $< 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$ 







### Radiative decays district

 $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  has higher rates and is sensitive differently to NP wrt  $b \rightarrow sll$ Inclusive

> Experimental challenge Theory challenge

Study of inclusive  $B \rightarrow X_{s} \gamma$  decay. In addition to NP searches extract:

- some SM parameters, e.g. mass of *b*-quark [RevModPhys88.035008]
- shape function describing the motion of *b*-quark inside  $B_{[PRL127.102001]}$

<u>Today</u>: BF( $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ ) and photon spectrum with hadronic tag in 189 fb<sup>-1</sup>

<u>Challenge</u>: suppress and subtract background contributions

- Reconstruct tag side using multitude of hadronic channels
- Reconstruct signal photon candidate with highest  $E_{\nu}^{B}$  $(E_{\nu}^{B} > 1.4 \text{ GeV})$
- Veto photons coming from  $\pi^0$  and  $\eta$  decays



# Inclusive $BF(B \rightarrow X_{c}\gamma)$ (II)

- Suppress  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$  background by combining event-topology,  $B_{\text{tag}}$  kinematics, and vertexing variables in a BDT.
- Determine number of well-reconstructed  $B_{tag}$  mesons in data and simulation<sup>\*</sup> by fitting the M<sub>bc</sub> distribution in bins of  $E_{\nu}^{B}$ .  $*B \rightarrow X_{s}\gamma$  is excluded from simulation
- From  $E^B_{\nu}$  distributions obtained in data subtract those in simulation => Obtain number of  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$  decays.
- Calculate partial branching fractions in bins of  $E_{\nu}^{B}$

 $\frac{1}{\Gamma_B} \frac{d\Gamma_i}{dE_{\gamma}} = \frac{\mathcal{U}_i \cdot (N_i^{\text{DATA}} - N_i^{\text{BKG, MC}} - N_i^{B \to X_d \gamma})}{\varsigma \dots N_{-}}$  $N_i^{\text{DATA}} (N_i^{\text{BKG,MC}})$ - number of events in data (simulation)  $N_i^{B \to X_d \gamma}$  - number of  $B \to X_d \gamma$  events  $\mathcal{U}_i$  - unfolding factor  $N_{R}$  - number of  $B\overline{B}$  pairs  $\varepsilon_i$  - signal efficiency





# Inclusive $BF(B \rightarrow X_{c}\gamma)$ (III)

• Integrate results for various  $E_{\nu}^{B}$  thresholds

$E_{\gamma}^{B}$ threshold,	GeV	$\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s \gamma)(10^{-4})$
1.8		$3.54 \pm 0.78$ (stat.) $\pm 0.83$ (stat.)
2.0		$3.06 \pm 0.56 \text{ (stat.) } \pm 0.47 \text{ (stat.)}$

- Largest systematic effects due to simulation mismodelings and bkg normalization data-simulation discrepancy.
- BaBar hadron tag result for  $E_{\gamma}^{B} > 1.9$  GeV (210 fb<sup>-1</sup>):  $(3.66 \pm 0.85 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-4}$  [PRD77.051103]
- SM prediction for  $E_{\gamma}^{B} > 1.6$  GeV:  $(3.40 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-4}$  [JHEPO6(2020)175]

Competitive with the BaBar hadronic tag measurement







## Summary

- $b \rightarrow s$  transitions offer powerful probe of the SM and physics beyond
- $b \rightarrow s$  studies are essential portion of the Belle II physics program unique access to radiative and missing energy modes
- Measurements with 63 fb<sup>-1</sup> and 190 fb<sup>-1</sup> presented:  $\triangleright$   $B \rightarrow K^* ll$  branching fraction;
- Branching fraction, isospin asymmetry, and  $R_K(J/\psi)$  of  $B \rightarrow J/\psi K$  decays; NEW! 🖻
  - $B \rightarrow K v \overline{v}$  branching fraction;
  - $\triangleright$   $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$  branching fraction;
- **NEW!** Partial branching fractions of  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$  decay with hadronic tag approach.

Belle II is on track to carry out independent and/or unique searches of NP indications in EW and Rad penguins





## Backup



 $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

 $B \rightarrow K^* e^+ e^-$ 

arXiv:2206.05946



## Measurement of BF( $B \rightarrow K^* \ell \ell)$



arXiv:2206.05946

### $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$



## Measurement of $B \rightarrow J/\psi K$ decays

Decay  

$$B^+ \to K^+ J/\psi(\to e^+)$$
  
 $B^+ \to K^+ J/\psi(\to \mu^+)$   
 $B^0 \to K^0_S J/\psi(\to e^+ e^-)$   
 $B^0 \to K^0_S J/\psi(\to \mu^+)$ 

$$R_K(J/\psi) = \frac{\mathscr{B}}{\mathscr{B}}$$

Observable	Belle II	Belle (2021)
$R_{K^+}(J/\psi)$	$1.009 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.008$	$0.994 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.010$
$R_{K^0_{ m S}}(J/\psi)$	$1.042 \pm 0.042 \pm 0.008$	$0.993 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.010$

$$A_{I} = \frac{(\tau_{B^{+}}/\tau_{B^{0}})(f^{\pm}/f^{00})(n_{sig}/\epsilon)|_{K_{S}^{0}J/\psi(\ell\ell)} - (n_{sig}/\epsilon)|_{K^{+}J/\psi(\ell\ell)}}{(\tau_{B^{+}}/\tau_{B^{0}})(f^{\pm}/f^{00})(n_{sig}/\epsilon)|_{K_{S}^{0}J/\psi(\ell\ell)} + (n_{sig}/\epsilon)|_{K^{+}J/\psi(\ell\ell)}}$$

Observable	Belle II	Belle (2021)
$A_I(J/\psi \to e^+e^-)$	$-0.022\pm 0.016\pm 0.030$	$-0.002 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.024$
$A_I(J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-)$	$-0.006 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.030$	$-0.002 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.024$

### **Branching fraction**

	Belle II $(10^{-5})$	PDG $(10^{-5})$
e_)	$6.00 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.19$	$6.09\pm0.12$
$\mu^{-})$	$6.06 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.19$	$6.08\pm0.12$
-)	$2.67 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.12$	$2.66\pm0.10$
(_)	$2.78 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.12$	$2.65\pm0.10$

$$(B \to KJ/\psi (\to \mu^+ \mu^-)))$$

$$(B \rightarrow KJ/\psi(\rightarrow e^+e^-))$$



## Measurement of $B \rightarrow J/\psi K$ decays



20

## Measurement of $B \rightarrow J/\psi K$ decays



21





TABLE I: Partial branching fraction measurement results and uncertainties. Note that signal efficiency and background modelling uncertainties are correlated (see Sections 7.2 and (7.3).

$E_{\gamma}^B \; [ { m GeV} \;]$	$\frac{1}{\Gamma_B} \frac{d\Gamma_i}{dE_\gamma} (10^{-4})$	Statistical	Systematic	Fit procedure	Signal efficiency	Background modelling	Other
1.8 - 2.0	0.48	0.54	0.64	0.42	0.03	0.49	0.09
2.0 - 2.1	0.57	0.31	0.25	0.17	0.06	0.17	0.07
2.1 - 2.2	0.13	0.26	0.16	0.13	0.01	0.11	0.01
2.2 - 2.3	0.41	0.22	0.10	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.02
2.3 - 2.4	0.48	0.22	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.05
2.4 - 2.5	0.75	0.19	0.14	0.04	0.09	0.02	0.09
2.5 - 2.6	0.71	0.13	0.10	0.02	0.09	0.00	0.04

Inclusive  $BF(B \rightarrow X_{\varsigma}\gamma)$ 

